International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

Landry Guillain-Barré Strohl syndrome Atypical Variant of Guillain-Barre Syndrome: Case Report and Review

Dr. Gupta V¹, Dr. Joshi H. ², Dr. Pathak K. J.³

¹Junior Resident Department of General Medicine

²Senior Resident Department of Neurology

³Professor Department of Medicine, SBKSMIRC and Sumandeep Vidyapeeth, Piparia

Abstract: Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) is the most common cause of acute or sub-acute generalized paralysis in practice. It is an autoimmune polyradiculopathy, which manifests as are flexic flaccid paralysis with variable sensory and autonomic dysfunction. Atypical variety of GBS are being reported from various parts of the world. There are reports of normo-reflexic or hyper-reflexic varieties of GBS, here we present a case of GBS with preserved reflexes

Keywords: Guillain-Barre syndrome with preserved reflex, atypical variant

1. Introduction

Guillain-Barre syndrome (GBS) is the most common cause of acute or sub-acute generalized paralysis in practice. It is an autoimmune polyradiculopathy, which manifests as areflexic flaccid paralysis with variable sensory and autonomic dysfunction. In a typical case, there is symmetrical weakness that is noticed first in lower limbs with variable sensory and autonomic involvement and absent reflexes. Typical cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) picture shows albumino-cytologic dissociation. Pathologically GBS has been divided into two subtypes: Demyelinating and axonal.² Increasingly, atypical varieties of GBS are being reported from various parts of the world. There are reports of normo-reflexic or hyper-reflexic varieties of GBS from Chinese, Japanese and European populations.³⁻⁶ These varieties are not very common in Indian Subcontinent, and only a few such atypical cases have been reported till now.^{7,8}

2. Case Report

Paper ID: ART20164506

A 17 year young boy complained of sore throat (1st day), on next day he experienced change in voice with regurgitation of water, enroute to hospital patient developed lower limb

and upper limb weakness and had to be mobilized with assistance of two person. O/e limbs were flaccid, asymmetrical weakness of both upper and lower limbs, there was multiple cranial nerve involvement (VII,IX,X,XI), with truncal muscle weakness, power of proximal and distal muscle of lower limb was 2/5 & 3/5 respectively(MRC grading). The power in upper limb proximal and distal muscle was 3/5 & 3/5. Deep tendon reflexes were preserved, there was no sensory deficit or autonomic dysfunction, B/L flexor plantar, normal abdominal reflex, no bladder bowel involvement, After one day of admission patient developed respiratory distress and was intubated.

3. Investigations

All hematological work up including creatine kinase & CSF study was normal. MRI BRAIN study was also normal: based on strong clinical suspicion and NCV study was done which revealed evidence of a predominantly axonal motor polyradiculoneuropathy, in addition conduction block was seen in both ulnar nerve across the elbow.

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)

ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

	Motor Nei	ve Cor					
Nerve and	Site	Latency	Amplitude	Segment	Latency Difference	Distance	Conduction Velocity
Median.R	Wnist	3.3 ms	3.8 mV	Abductor pollicis brevis-Wrist	3.3 ms	60 mm	18.1 m/s
	Elbow	7.2 ms 3.0 mV Wrist-Elbow		3.9 ms	230mm	58.9 m/s	
Uhar.R	Wrist	2.2 ms	7.0 mV	Abductor digiti minimi (manus)- Wrist	22 ms	60 mm	27.2 m/s
	Below elbow	6.2 ms	5.9 mV	Wrist-Belowelbow	4.0 ms	240 mm	60.0 m/s
	Above elbow	9.1 ms	0.5 mV	belowelbow-above elbow	2.9 ms	100 mm	34.4 m/s
Peronea1R	Ankle	4.2 ms	1.9 mV	Extensor digitorum brevis-Ankle	42 ms	70mm	16.6 m/s
	Fibula (head)	10.6 ms	1.6 mV	Ankle-Fibula (head)	6.4 ms	320 mm	50 m/s
	Popliteal fossa	11.7 ms	1.7 mV	Fibula (head)-popliteal fossa	1.1 ms	70 mm	63.6 m/s
TibialR	Ankle	3.5 ms	2.2 mV	Abductor hallucis-Ankle	3.5 ms	80 mm	22.8 m/s
	Poplitea1 fossa	11.3 ms	1.8 mV	Ankle-Popliteal fossa	7.8 ms	420 mm	53.8 m/s
Median.L	Wrist	3.1 ms	3.1 mV	Abductor pollicis brevis-Wrist	3.1 ms	60 mm	19.3 m/s
	Elbow	7.0 ms	2.8 mV	Wrist-Elbow	3.9 ms	230mm	58.9 m/s
Ulnar.L	Wrist	1.9 ms	7.0 mV	Abductor digiti minimi (manus)- Wrist	1.9 ms	60 mm	31.5 m/s
	Above elbow	7.6 ms	1.2 mV	belowelbow-aboveelbow	1.3 ms	100 mm	76.9 m/s
	Below elbow	6.3 ms	6.9 mV	Wrist-Belowelbow	4.4 ms	240 mm	54.5 m/s
TibialL	Ankle	3.5 ms	2.1 mV	Abductor hallucis-Ankle	3.5 ms	80 mm	22.8 m/s
	Popliteal fossa	11.7 ms	1.5 mV	Ankle-Popliteal fossa	8.2 ms	420 mm	51.2 m/s
Peronea1L	Ankle	4.6 ms	1.4 mV	Extensor dig itorum brev is-Ankle	4.6 ms	70mm	15.2 m/s
	Fibula (head)	10.8 ms	1.2 mV	Ankle-Fibula (head)	62 ms	320 mm	51.6 m/s
	Poplitea1 fossa	11.9 ms	1.1 mV	Fibula (head)-popliteal fossa	1.1 ms	70 mm	63.6 m/s

Nerve and Site		latency	duration	Amplitude	Latency Difference	Distance	Conduction
Median.R	Wrist	2.2 ms	0.7 ms	64 mV	2.2 ms	mm	m/s
Ulnar.R	Wrist	2.1 ms	0.5 ms	44 mV	0.3 ms	mm	m/s
Sural.R	Lower leg	2.3 ms	0.7 ms	29 mV	2.3 ms	mm	m/s
Median.L	Wrist	2.1 ms	0.7 ms	69 mV	2.1 ms	mm	m/s
Ulnar.L	Wrist	2.0 ms	0.6 ms	45 mV	2.0 ms	mm	m/s
Sural.L	Lower leg	1.8 ms	0.8 ms	30 mV	0.1 ms	mm	m/s

4. Management

Patient was managed on routine supportive therapy and standard therapy for GBS IVIg (400mg/kg/day) for 5 days. Tracheostomy was also done and after 10 days patient was discharged in stable condition with mild difficulty in closing eye.

5. Discussion and Review

Acute ascending weakness was first described by Landry in 1859, but the full extent of the disease and its characteristics were described by Guillain, Barré and Strohl in 1916. The disease gained international notoriety under the name that remains today, Guillain Barré Syndrome. GBS is now the world's most common cause of acute neuromuscular paralysis. Our understanding of GBS has evolved many folds with many atypical variants being reported across the world with cases reported of normo-reflexia and even hyperreflexia. No longer is areflexia an essential criteria for making a diagnosis of GBS. The typical CSF picture can take 48 h to a week to evolve making albumino-cytologic dissociation an unreliable indicator for early diagnosis and treatment.

Our patient's clinical presentation and disease course was typical of GBS except for preservation of reflexes. Preserved reflexes and even hyperreflexia may occur in patients with pure motor GBS.It is more appropriate to classify this neuropathy as a GBS variant, which Capasso et al., suggest calling"acute motor conduction block neuropathy," emphasizing the presence of conduction blocks and avoiding the pathophysiologic implication that all conduction blocks are demyelinating in nature. It might be possible to explain the preservation of tendon reflexes in GBS by following factors, The presence of normal sensory nerve function rather than motor is required for tendon jerks. As tendon jerks are dependent on synchronized volley of impulses ,a purely axonal lesion would preserve tendon jerks better than a demyelinating lesion. In our patient ,no electrodiagnostic correlation of peripheral nerve demyelination was found.

References

[1] Stephen LH, Anthony AA. Guillain-Barre syndrome & other immune-mediated neuropathies. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 18th Edition, Volume 2. New York: McGraw Hill; 2012: 3473-7.

Volume 6 Issue 1, January 2017

International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) ISSN (Online): 2319-7064

Index Copernicus Value (2015): 78.96 | Impact Factor (2015): 6.391

- [2] Gupta D, Nair M, Baheti NN, Sarma PS, KuruvillaA., Diplomate-American Board. Electrodiagnostic and clinical aspects of Guillain-Barré syndrome: an analysis of 142 cases. J ClinNeuromuscul Dis. 2008;10(2):42-51.
- [3] Yuki N, Kokubun N, Kuwabara S, Sekiguchi Y, Ito M, Odaka M, et al. Guillain-Barré syndrome associated with normal or exaggerated tendon reflexes. J Neurol. 2012;259(6):1181-90.
- [4] Kuwabara S, Ogawara K, Koga M, Mori M, Hattori T, Yuki N. Hyperreflexia in Guillain-Barré syndrome: relation with acute motor axonal neuropathy and anti-GM1 antibody. J NeurolNeurosurg Psychiatry. 1999;67(2):180-4.
- [5] Asbury AK, Cornblath DR. Preserved tendon reflexes in Campylobacter neuropathy [reply to letter]. Ann Neurol 1998;43:547.
- [6] Susuki K, Atsumi M, Koga M, Hirata K, Yuki N. Acute facial diplegia and hyperreflexia: a Guillain-Barré syndrome variant. Neurology. 2004;62(5):825-7.
- [7] Somarajan A. Guillain Barre syndrome with brisk reflexes-another variant. Neurol India. 2006;54(2):215-6.
- [8] Baheti NN, Manuel D, Shinde PD, Radhakrishnan A, Nair M. HyperreflexicGuillain-Barré syndrome. Ann Indian Acad Neurol. 2010;13(4):305-7.
- [9] Bonduelle M. Guillain-Barré syndrome. Arch Neurol. 1998;55(11):1483-4
- [10] Hughes RA, Cornblath DR. Guillain-Barré syndrome. Lancet. 2005;366(9497):1653–66.



Online): 23/9